EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN	
Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANAHITA S. CRAWFORD	
ANAHITA S. CRAWFORD Deputy Attorney General	
State Bar No. 209545	
1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
Telephone: (916) 322-8311 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643	
Attorneys for Complainant	
BEF	ORE THE
BOARD C	DF PHARMACY
	F CONSUMER AFFAIRS F CALIFORNIA
In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3550
DAVID YOUNG KANG 1607 Oak Tree Lane	
Stockton, Ca 95209	ACCUSATION
Intern Pharmacist No. INT 19865	
Responder	nt l
Complainant alleges:	· · · · · ·
<u><u>P</u>₂</u>	ARTIES
1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) br	ings this Accusation solely in her official capaci
 as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about October 6, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Intern Pharmacist 	
	times relevant to the charges brought herein and
will expire on October 31, 2010, unless renewed.	
///	
///*	
///	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	.1
	Accus

 \bigcirc

1	
. 1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the expiration of a license
6	shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period
7	within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
8	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
9	5. Section 4300 of the Code states, in part:
10	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
11	••••
12	(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional
13	conduct
14	6. Section 4001.1 of the Code states:
15 16	Protection of the public shall be the highest priority for the California State Board of Pharmacy in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions. Whenever the protection of the public is inconsistent with other interests sought to be promoted, the protection of the public shall be paramount.
17	L
18	7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:
19	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and
20	Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree
21	it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the
22	public health, safety, or welfare.
23	8. Section 4301 of the Code states:
24	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of
25	unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is
26	not limited to, any of the following:
27	
28	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any
	2
	Accusatio

1	dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public or to the extent that the use impairs the chiling of
2	to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
3	•••••
4	(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications,
5	functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United
6	States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive
.7	evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may
8	inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled
9	substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this
10	chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The
11	board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made
12	suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of
13	guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.
14	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>
15	9. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
16	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
17	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
18	enforcement of the case.
19	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
20	(Criminal Conviction)
21	10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4300, subdivision (a) for
22	violating section 4301, subdivision (I) in that on or about March 18, 2008, in the case of People of
23	the State of California v. David Young Kang, (Yolo Sup. Crt. 2008), Respondent was convicted
24	on his plea of nolo contendere to violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b), (driving under the
25	influence). The circumstances are as follows:
26	11. On or about December 15, 2007 at 3:03 a.m., officers were dispatched to the scene of
- 27	a solo-vehicle accident. Respondent was found in the driver's seat along with a passenger.
28	Respondent was suspected of being under the influence, was asked to perform field sobriety tests,
	3
	Accusation

1	on which he performed poorly, and was arrested for driving under the influence. Respondent's
2	blood alcohol level was 0.12.
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Acts Constituting a Basis for Denial)
5	12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4300, subdivision (c) for
6	violating section 4301, subdivision (1) in that Respondent was convicted of crime substantially
7	related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee, as further set forth in paragraphs
8	10-11 above.
9	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Use of Alcohol in a Dangerous Manner)
11	13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4300, subdivision (a) for
12	violating section 4301, subdivision (h) in that on or about March 18, 2008, Respondent was
13	convicted on his plea of nolo contendere to violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b), (driving
14	under the influence), as more fully set forth in paragraphs 10-11, above.
15	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16	(Unprofessional Conduct)
17	14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4300, subdivision (a) for
18	violating section 4301, in that on or about January 20, 2009, Respondent was detained and
19	released by Stockton Police Department for suspicion of violation of Penal Code section 417,
20	subdivision (a)(2), exhibiting a firearm.
21	15. The circumstances are that, on January 20, 2009, the victim was driving on a road
22	when Respondent's car passed him at a high speed and turned into a residential neighborhood.
23	Since the victim lived in the area, he was concerned about Respondent's driving and followed
24	Respondent to his home. Once Respondent pulled into his garage, the victim parked his car and
25	approached Respondent about his speed and that he had observed Respondent nearly strike 5
26	children who were playing in the street. Respondent got out of his car, got his shot gun which
27	was in the garage, loaded one round and came out of the garage exhibiting the shot gun in order
28	to scare the victim. Such an act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of

4

1	a licensee as it evidences unfitness to carry out his duties in a manner consistent with public
2	health, safety or welfare.
3	PRAYER
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
5	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
6	1. Revoking or suspending Intern Pharmacist Registration Number INT 19865, issued to
• 7	David Young Kang.
8	2. Ordering David Young Kang to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of
9	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
10	125.3;
11	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
12	
13	
14	1/2 $1/2$ $1/2$ $1/2$
15	DATED: 12610 (rematication of the second of
16	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
17	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
18	Complainant
19	SA2009103080
20	10520322.doc
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5
	Accusation

i - -

.