1	FDMIND G BROWN IR Attorney General				
	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General				
2					
3	DIANE DE KERVOR, State Bar No. 174721 Deputy Attorney General				
4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101				
5	P.O. Box 85266				
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2611				
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061				
8	Attorneys for Complainant				
9					
10	BEFORE THE				
11	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:				
14	Case No. 3486				
15	VARELA FELIX aka JAVIER MAURICIO VARELA-FELIX				
16	3796 Palm Dr., Apt. A Desert Shores, CA 92274	ACCUSATION			
17	, and the second				
18	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 61270				
19	Respondent.				
20	Complainant alleges:				
	-				
21	PARTIES 11 (C) 1				
22		brings this Accusation solely in her official			
23	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pha				
24	2. On or about February 24, 2005, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy				
25	Technician Registration Number TCH 61270 to Javier M. Varela (Respondent). The Pharmacy				
26	Technician Registration will expire on December 31, 2010, unless renewed.				
27	/// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
28	///				
	. 1				
ll		Accusation			

JURISDICTION AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Code section 118, subdivision (b), states, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

5. Code section 482 states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

6. Section 490 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. . . .

7. Code section 493 states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

///

1	
2	for
3	for
4	dis
5	day
6	dev imp or o
7	OI C
8	dis
9	
10	nor
11	per vet to a
12	nur Sec
13	pur sub
14	sha wh
15	vet phy
16	ado
17	pra
18	sto
19	
20	suspende
21	
22	lint
23	unț mis not
24	1100
25	

8. Section 4022 of the Code states

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe self use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits pensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution; federal law restricts this vice to sale by or on the order of a _____," "Rx only," or words of similar port, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use order use of the device.
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully pensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.

Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a son upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, erinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant a drug order issued by a certified nurse midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a se practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to ction 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist rsuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of pparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section all not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, olesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, terinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse midwife, nurse practitioner, or ysician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and dress of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse midwife, a nurse actitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own ck of dangerous drugs and devices.

10. Section 4300 of the Code states that "(a) Every license issued may be ed or revoked."

Section 4301 of the Code states: 11.

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of professional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or srepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is limited to, any of the following:

The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

///

26

27

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	(h)	The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use
of any	danger	ous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to
be dange	erous	or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this
		by other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs
the abili	ty of the	e person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by
the licen	ise.	

- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. . . .
- 12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states, in pertinent part:
 - (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (2) Total criminal record.
 - (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
 - 13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable cost of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUG

15. Marijuana is a Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (d)(13), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 20, 2008 Criminal Convictions - Felony Driving Under the Influence With Injury and Felony Hit and Run on November 18, 2007)

- 16. Grounds exist to revoke Respondent's license pursuant to Code section 4300, section 4301, subdivisions (h), (k) and (l) as well as 490 and 493 for using alcoholic beverages to an extent or in a manner as to be dangerous to himself or others and a criminal conviction that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a Pharmacy Technician. On March 20, 2008, in a case entitled People vs. Javier Mauricio Varela Felix (Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No. 1255878), Respondent was convicted by a plea of no contest to a violation of Vehicle Code Sections 23153(a) (driving under the influence of alcohol with injury), a felony, and 20001(a) (hit and run), a felony.
- as follows: On November 18, 2007 Respondent rear ended a car that was stopped at a stop light with two women and three small children in it. Respondent admitted to the victim that he had been drinking, that he was drunk, and then he drove away. The victim chased him in her car, blocked him in a parking lot, and called the police. One of the passengers in the car suffered minor injuries in the accident. A blood test revealed that Respondent was driving under the influence of alcohol with a blood alcohol level of .12%. Respondent was

also found to be in possession of 28.7 grams of marijuana and \$440.62 in cash. The police came and arrested Respondent for hit and run, driving under the influence, and sale of marijuana.

- 18. On the plea form, Respondent admitted that the facts on the police report show enough to convict me of DUI with injury. I did drive while under the influence of alcohol and while doing so committed a vehicle code violation which in turn caused injury to J.D. I subsequently left the scene of the crime without doing as I was required to do pursuant to the vehicle code.
- 19. On May 1, 2008, Respondent was sentenced to 90 days in jail, three years probation under certain terms and conditions, including a first time offender alcohol program, a 12 month license suspension, \$2,725.00 in fines and fees, and restitution in an amount to be determined.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 20, 2008 Criminal Conviction – Driving on a Suspended License on November 6, 2007)

- 20. Grounds exist to revoke Respondent's license pursuant to Code section 4300, section 4301, subdivisions (f) and (l), as well as 490 and 493 for commission of an act involving moral turpitude and dishonesty, and a criminal conviction that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a Pharmacy Technician. On March 20, 2008, in a case entitled People vs. Javier Mauricio Varela Felix (Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No. 1255498), Respondent was convicted by a plea of no contest Vehicle Code section 14601.1(a) (driving on a suspended license), a misdemeanor.
- 21. The facts and circumstances surrounding this cause for discipline are as follows: On November 6, 2007, Respondent was stopped when he drove through a stop sign. Respondent informed the officer at that time that his license was suspended. A burned marijuana cigarette and a useable amount of marijuana was found in the ash tray of the car. Respondent was cited for driving on a suspended license, failing to stop, and possession of marijuana while driving.

1	22. On March 20, 2008, Respondent was sentenced to 15 days in jail and a
2	fine.
3	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	
5	(March 20, 2008 Criminal Conviction - Driving on a Suspended License on November 10, 2007)
6	23. Grounds exist to revoke Respondent's license pursuant to Code section
7	4300, section 4301, subdivisions (f) and (l), as well as 490 and 493 for commission of an act
8	involving moral turpitude and dishonesty, and a criminal conviction that is substantially related to
9	the qualifications, functions, and duties of a Pharmacy Technician. On March 20, 2008, in a case
10	entitled People vs. Javier Mauricio Varela Felix (Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No.
11	125567), Respondent was convicted by a plea of no contest to Vehicle Code section 14601.1(a)
12	(driving on a suspended license), a misdemeanor.
13	24. The facts and circumstances surrounding this cause for discipline are as
14	follows: On November 10, 2007, Respondent was stopped when he turned left without a signal.
15	Respondent informed the officer at that time that his license was suspended. Respondent was
16	cited for driving on a suspended license and failing to use his signal.
17	25. On May 1, 2008, Respondent was sentenced to 15 days in jail.
18	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
19	(August 8, 2008 Criminal Conviction - Petty Theft on June 19, 2008)
20	26. Grounds exist to revoke Respondent's license pursuant to Code section
21	4300, section 4301, subdivisions (f) and (l) as well as 490 and 493 for commission of an act
22	involving moral turpitude and dishonesty and a criminal conviction that is substantially related to
23	the qualifications, functions, and duties of a Pharmacy Technician. On August 8, 2008, in a case
24	entitled People vs. Javier Maurici Varela Felix (Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No.
25	1260971), Respondent was convicted by a plea of guilt to a violation of Penal Code section
26	484(a) (petty theft), a misdemeanor.
27	27. The facts and circumstances surrounding this cause for discipline are as
28	follows: Respondent and three friends used wrenches and bolt cutters to steal three bicycles from

1	the bike racks on campus at the University of California Santa Barbara. Respondent admitted to		
2	the police that he had borrowed the bolt cutters, had cut the locks on two of the bikes, and that he		
3	drove onto campus where each planned to take a bike to ride.		
4	28. On August 8, 2008, Respondent was sentenced to 45 days in jail and \$145		
5	in fines and fees.		
6	<u>PRAYER</u>		
7	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein		
8	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:		
9	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 61270,		
10	issued to Javier M. Varela.		
11	2. Ordering Javier M. Varela to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of		
12	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section		
13	125.3.		
14	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
15	DATED: 4/7/10		
16	lugina de de		
17	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer		
18	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs		
19	State of California Complainant		
20	Complaniant		
21	SD2009804895		
22	80444033.DOC		
23			
24	·		
25	,		
26			
27			
28			