1 2 3 4 5 6	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 147392 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3154 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3461	
13	ANGELA MARIE SIMMONS aka ANGELA MARIE PARRA		
14	2601 Jefferson Street #608 Carlsbad, CA 92008	ACCUSATION	
15	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH		
16	59251		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	PAR	TIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmad	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23			
24	license Number TCH 59251 to Angela Marie Sir	nmons aka Angela Marie Parra (Respondent).	
25	The Pharmacy Technician license was in full for		
26	brought herein and will expire on August 21, 2010, unless renewed.		
27	111		
28	///		
		1	
		Accusation	

.

 $f = \frac{1}{2}$

--

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 4300 of the Code states:
6	"(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
7	"(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default
8	has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the
9	following methods:
10	"(1) Suspending judgment.
11	"(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
12	"(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
13	"(4) Revoking his or her license.
14	"(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its
15	discretion may deem proper.
16	
17	"(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5
18	(commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board
19	shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of
ý [*] 20	the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of
21	Civil Procedure."
22	5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,
23	surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a
24	disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued
25	or reinstated.
26	///
27	///
28	///
	2
	Accusation

1	STATUTORY PROVISIONS AND REGULATIONS
2	6. Section 4301 of the Code states:
3	"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional
4	conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.
5	Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:
6	n
7	"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or
8	corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and
9	whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
10	n
11	"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous
12	drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to
13	oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or
14	to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the
15	practice authorized by the license.
16	n • • • •
17	"(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use,
18	consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any
19	combination of those substances.
20	"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and
21	duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13
22	(commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled
23	substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or
24	dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the
25	record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.
26	The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order
27	to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances
28	or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the
	3
	Accusation

(

 \cdot

ł

qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or 1 a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning 2 of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the 3 judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 4 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of 5 the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not 6 guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or 7 indictment. 8

11 22

10

9

Section 490 of the Code states: 7.

"(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a 11 board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a 12 crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business 13 or profession for which the license was issued. 14

"(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to 15 discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under 16 subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties 17 of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued. 18

"(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a 19 conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take 20 following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or 21 the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is 22 made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the 23 24 provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

25

"(d) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the application of this section has been made unclear by the holding in Petropoulos v. Department of Real Estate (2006) 142 Cal.App.4th 26 554, and that the holding in that case has placed a significant number of statutes and regulations 27 28 in question, resulting in potential harm to the consumers of California from licensees who have

4

been convicted of crimes. Therefore, the Legislature finds and declares that this section establishes an independent basis for a board to impose discipline upon a licensee, and that the 2 amendments to this section made by Senate Bill 797 of the 2007 -08 Regular Session do not 3 constitute a change to, but rather are declaratory of, existing law." 4

5

1

8. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within 6 7 the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a 8 license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the 9 ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the 10 crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, 11 and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in 12 order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the 13 qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question. 14

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 15 'registration." 16

.17

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license 18 pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a 19 crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a 20 licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a 21 licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner 22 consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare." 23

24

10. Section 482 of the Code states:

"Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the 25 rehabilitation of a person when: 26

27

28

"(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or

"(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1	"Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by		
2	the applicant or licensee."		
3	11. Title 16, Code of Regulations, section 1769 provides in part:		
4	"(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the		
5	ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating		
6	the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the		
7	following criteria:		
8	"(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).		
9	"(2) Total criminal record.		
10	"(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).		
11	"(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution		
12	or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.		
13	"(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee."		
14	COST RECOVERY		
15	12. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the		
16	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of		
17	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and		
18	enforcement of the case.		
19	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
20	(March 22, 2007 Conviction for DUI on February 3, 2007)		
21	13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (l),		
22	for conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a		
23	pharmacy technician in that on March 22, 2007, in The People of the State of California v. Angela		
24	Marie Parra, San Diego Superior Court-North County Division, Case No. CN225671,		
25	Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of driving under the influence of alcohol with a		
26	blood alcohol content of 0.08% or greater, a violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b).		
27	14. The circumstances are as follows. At about 1:50 a.m. on February 3, 2007, an officer		
28	of the Carlsbad Police Department observed Respondent's vehicle pass through a red traffic light		
	6		

Ô

¢

without stopping. The officer turned on his red and blue lights, followed Respondent's vehicle 1 and made a traffic stop. When the officer contacted Respondent, the officer smelled the odor of 2 an alcoholic beverage on Respondent's breath. In addition, Respondent's eyes were red, watery 3 and didn't focus and her speech was slurred. An open 350 ml bottle of Jack Daniels was 4 observed in a bag in the back seat of Respondent's vehicle. It was about 7/8 full of what 5 appeared, and smelled, like whiskey. Respondent was arrested after she failed the field sobriety 6 tests. She agreed to submit to breath tests at the detention facility. The breath tests resulted in 7 readings of 0.21% and 0.23% blood alcohol content. Respondent was charged with violation of 8 Vehicle Code sections 23152(a) and 23152(b) with a special allegation that Respondent was 9 driving under the influence of alcohol with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.15% or more 10 within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578. Respondent pled guilty to violation of 11 Vehicle Code section 23152(b) and admitted the special allegation. All other charges were 12 dismissed. 13

14 15. Respondent was sentenced to summary probation for 5 years, ordered to pay fines and
15 fees of \$1775, complete 10 days of community service, attend and complete a First Conviction
16 Program, attend MADD meetings and Respondent was prohibited from driving with a measurable
17 amount of alcohol in her system.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

18 19

(Unprofessional Conduct-Use of Alcoholic Beverage on February 3, 2007)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (h), 20 for unprofessional conduct on February 3, 2007 in that Respondent used an alcoholic beverage in 21 a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself or the public when Respondent operated a 22 23 motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol with a blood alcohol concentration of greater than .015% and when she ran a red traffic light, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 13 and 14, 24 above. 25 111 26 27 111

28 ///

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

(July 9, 2007 Conviction of Public Intoxication on May 28, 2007)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (i), for conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician in that on July 9, 2007, in *The People of the State of California v. Angela Marie Parra*, San Diego Superior Court-North County Division, Case No. CN230976, Respondent was convicted on her guilty plea of public intoxication, a violation of Penal Code section 647(f).

18. The circumstances are as follows. At approximately 10:30 p.m. on May 28, 2007, an 9 officer of the Oceanside Police Department was patrolling the beach in Oceanside, California, 10 when he observed a group of people with alcohol on the beach, a violation of the Oceanside City 11 Code. After exiting the patrol car, the officer approached the group. In doing so, the officer 12 observed that the group was drinking what appeared to be beer from red plastic cups. The officer 13 told the group to discard the alcoholic beverages as they were not allowed on the beach, but 14 Respondent did not comply. When the officer asked Respondent why she did not discard her 15 drink, Respondent looked away from the officer and did not respond. The officer asked 16 Respondent to go to the patrol car. At this point, Respondent discarded her drink and went to the 17 patrol car. 18

19. When the officer attempted to issue Respondent a citation for having alcohol on the 19 beach, she was uncooperative and refused to give the officer information necessary for the 20 citation. The officer also observed that Respondent had watery, bloodshot eyes, slurred speech 21 and smelled of an alcoholic beverage. Once the citation was complete, Respondent refused to 22 sign it although she was advised that signing it was not an admission of guilt and was warned that 23 she would be taken into custody if she refused. Respondent continued to refuse to sign the 24 citation. She admitted she had a lot to drink that night but that everyone in the group was also 25 drinking and she should not be the only one getting a ticket. As the officer was attempting to take 26 Respondent into custody, she locked her arms at her sides and did not allow the officer to place 27 28 her in handcuffs. Respondent continued to resist arrest so the officer pinned her down, but

8

Respondent slipped away from the officer's grasp and fell, hitting her head on the bumper of the 1 patrol car. She did not suffer any injuries nor require medical treatment. She was eventually 2 taken into custody. While in custody, Respondent told the officer that she would end the officer's 3 career and that the officer should "wait for tomorrow" because he "had no idea what was 4 coming." When asked about her tattoos for booking purposes, Respondent told the officer that 5 she had a tattoo on her "a-of [the officer's] mom". 6 20. Respondent was charged with public intoxication, a violation of Penal Code section 7 647(f) and resisting an officer, a violation of Penal Code section 148(a)(1). Respondent pled 8 guilty to public intoxication and the remaining charge was dismissed. 9 21. Respondent was sentenced to summary probation for 3 years, required to pay fines 10 and fees of \$195, required to attend AA meetings, and ordered to abstain from alcohol in public. 11 FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 12 (Unprofessional Conduct-Use of Alcoholic Beverage on May 28, 2007) 13 22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (h), 14 for unprofessional conduct on May 28, 2007 in that Respondent used an alcoholic beverage in a 15 manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself or the public when Respondent was intoxicated 16 in public and resisted arrest, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 17-19, above. 17 FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 18 (January 9, 2008 Conviction-Driving Under a Suspended License on November 18, 2007) 19 23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (l), 20 for conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a 21 pharmacy technician in that on January 9, 2008, in The People of the State of California v. Angela 22 Marie Parra, San Diego Superior Court-North County Division, Case No. CN238076, 23 Respondent was convicted on her guilty plea of driving under a suspended license, a violation of 24 25 Vehicle Code section 14601.2(a). The circumstances are as follows. On November 18, 2007, an officer of the Carlsbad 26 24. Police Department stopped Respondent because her license plate light was not functioning. 27 28 9

During the course of the traffic stop, the officer learned that Respondent's driver's license was suspended. The officer issued Respondent a citation and her vehicle was towed.
 25. Respondent was charged with driving when her driving privilege was suspended and

1

2

3

11

revoked for driving under the influence of alcohol in violation of Vehicle Code section
14601.2(a) and unlawfully failing to have required lighting equipment in good working order on a
vehicle in violation of Vehicle Code section 24252(a). Respondent pled guilty to a reduced
charge of driving under a suspended license, Vehicle Code section 14601.1(a). The remaining
charges were dismissed.

9 26. Respondent was sentenced to 3 years probation and ordered to pay fines and fees of
10 \$1,251.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(July 21, 2008 Conviction-Driving Without a Valid License on April 29, 2008)
27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (1),
for conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a
pharmacy technician in that on July 21, 2008, in *The People of the State of California v. Angela Marie Parra*, San Diego Superior Court-North County Division, Case No. CN245411,
Respondent was convicted on her guilty plea of driving without a valid license, a violation of
Vehicle Code section 12500(a).

The circumstances are as follows. On July 21, 2008, an officer of the Carlsbad Police
 Department stopped Respondent for having tinted windows in violation of Vehicle Code section
 26708. During the course of the traffic stop, the officer learned that Respondent's driver's license
 was suspended. Respondent was cited and her vehicle was towed.

23 29. Respondent was charged with driving when her driving privilege was suspended and
24 revoked for driving under the influence of alcohol in violation of Vehicle Code section
25 14601.2(a). She pled guilty to driving without a valid driver's license, a violation of Vehicle
26 Code section 12500(a), and the remaining charge was dismissed.

27 30. Respondent was sentenced to 3 years probation and ordered to pay fines and fees of
28 \$454.

1	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Unprofessional Conduct-Multiple Misdemeanors Involving Alcohol)	
3	31. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (k),	
4	for unprofessional conduct in the conviction of more than one misdemeanor involving the use or	
5	consumption of an alcoholic beverage in that Respondent was convicted of DUI on March 22,	
6	2007 and of public intoxication on July 9, 2007, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 13-15 and	
7	17-21, above.	
8	PRAYER	
9	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
10	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
11	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Number TCH 59251, issued to Angela	
12	Marie Simmons.	
13	2. Ordering Angela Marie Simmons to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs	
14	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code	
15	section 125.3;	
16	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
17		
18		
19	DATED: 12/8/09 Jugina Herold	
20	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer	
21	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs	
22	State of California Complainant	
23		
24	SD2009804736 80401135.doc	
25	·	
26		
27		
28		
	11Accusation	

 \bigcirc

Ĵ

r.