, ,		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	COPY Rd of FAXAARA NOV 18 PH 4: 24
9 10	BEFORE BOARD OF PI DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF CA	HARMACY DNSUMER AFFAIRS
11 12	In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Case No. 3408
. 13		ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION
14 15 16	Pharmacy Intern Permit No. INT 18460 Respondent.	
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	PART	IES
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings	this Accusation and Petition to Revoke
20	Probation solely in her official capacity as the Exe	cutive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy,
21	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about November 3, 2005, the Bo	bard of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
23	Intern Permit No. INT 18460 to Max August Ryde	n (Respondent). The Pharmacy Intern Permit
24	will expire on November 30, 2010, unless renewed	l
25		Matter of the Accusation Against: Max August
26	<i>Ryden,</i> " Case No. 3048, the Board issued a decisio	
27	Respondent's Pharmacy Intern Permit was revoked	
28	Respondent's Pharmacy Intern Permit was placed	on probation for a period of five years with SATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

1	certain terms and conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated
2	by reference.
3	JURISDICTION
4	4. This Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board, under
5	the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions
6	Code unless otherwise indicated.
7	ACCUSATION
8	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
9	5. Section 118, subdivision (b) provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license
10	shall not deprive the Board jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period
11	within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
12	6. Section 4060 states, in pertinent part:
13	"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon
14	the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor
15	pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified
16	nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a
17	physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5,
18	or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of
19	subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not
20	apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy,
21	pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified
22	nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly
23	labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer."
24	7. Section 4300 provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is
25	subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
26	111
27	
28	///
	2
	ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

ş

#

Section 4301 states: 8. "The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following: (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not. (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license. (i) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the (0)violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency." 9. Health and Safety Code section 11170 states, "No person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COST RECOVERY

26 10. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative
27 law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing
28 ///

3

1 act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the 2 case. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE 3 11. "Norco," is the brand name for Hydrocodone 10mg./Acetaminophen 325mg. It is a 4 Schedule III controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(4) and is 5 categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022. 6 7 FACTUAL SUMMARY 12. On or about August 25, 2008, Respondent enrolled in the Pharmacist Recovery 8 Program (PRP), as required by Term No. 15 of the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, · 9

California State Board of Pharmacy Diversion Program. Between on or about March 31, 2009 11 and May 8, 2009, Respondent participated in a clinical clerkship at USC Pharmacy, in Los 12 Angeles, California. Respondent indicated that he finished his clinical rotation on May 1, 2009 13 14 and relapsed on May 7, 2009, which lasted four (4) days. During this relapse investigation, by the Clinical Case Manager, Respondent admitted that he obtained, possessed, and self-administered 15 Norco during his recent clinical rotation and concealed his relapse from his worksite monitor at 16 the pharmacy. In addition, Respondent admitted that he was asked to submit to random 17 biological fluid testing on May 8, 2009, but failed to report for testing. Respondent was 18 subsequently terminated from PRP, as a public risk for failure to comply with the terms and 19 conditions of his diversion program contract. 20

Case No. 3048. On or about May 12, 2009, Respondent self-reported a relapse to Maximus, a

ACCUSATION

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Obtained and Possessed a Controlled Substance)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (j) and
(o), for violating section 4060, in that between on or about March 31, 2009 through on or about
May 8, 2009, while acting as an Intern Pharmacist, during his clinical clerkship at USC
Pharmacy, in Los Angeles, California, Respondent obtained and possessed Norco, a controlled

28

21

22

23

10

1	substance. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth
2	above in paragraph 12, as though set forth fully.
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Self-Administered a Controlled Substance)
5	14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (h) and
6	(j), for violating Health and Safety Code section 11170, in that between on or about March 31,
7	2009 through on or about May 8, 2009, while acting as an Intern Pharmacist, during his clinical
8	clerkship at USC Pharmacy, in Los Angeles, California, Respondent self-administered Norco, a
9	controlled substance. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations
10	set forth above in paragraph 12, as though set forth fully.
11	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Dishonest Acts)
13	15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in
14	that between on or about March 31, 2009 through on or about May 8, 2009, while acting as an
15	Intern Pharmacist, during his clinical clerkship at USC Pharmacy, in Los Angeles, California,
16	Respondent committed dishonest acts by obtainging, possessing, and self-administering, Norco,
17	a controlled substance. In addition, Respondent concealed his diversion relapse from his worksite
18	monitor at the pharmacy. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the
19	allegations set forth above in paragraphs 12 through 14, inclusive, as though set forth fully.
20	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION
21	FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION
22	(Violation of Probation)
23	16. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Probation Condition
24	No. 13 stated:
25	"If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice
26	and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which
27	was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against Respondent during
28	probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be
	5
	ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

a

ť

ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

extended, until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided."

17. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with
Probation Condition No. 13, referenced above, in that he violated the conditions of his probation.
The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are that Respondent has violated the
conditions of his probation and is being given another opportunity to be heard and will be subject
to revocation and other discipline.

7

8

1

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Complete the Pharmacists Recovery Program)

9 18. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Probation Condition
10 No. 15 stated:

"Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall contact the
Pharmacists Recovery Program for evaluation and shall successfully participate in and complete
the treatment contract and any subsequent addendums as recommended and provided by the PRP
and as approved by the Board. The costs for PRP participation shall be borne by the Respondent.

If Respondent is currently enrolled in the PRP, said participation is now mandatory and is 15 no longer considered a self-referral under Business and Professions Code section 4363, as of the 16 effective date of this decision. Respondent shall successfully participate in and complete his 17 current contract and any subsequent addendums with the PRP. Probation shall be automatically 18 extended until Respondent successfully completes his treatment contract. Any person terminated 19 from the program shall be automatically suspended upon notice by the Board. Respondent may 20 21 not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the Board in writing. The Board shall retain jurisdiction to institute action to terminate probation for any violation of this term." 22

19. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with
Probation Condition No. 15, referenced above, in that he failed to successfully complete the
Pharmacists Recovery Program. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are that on
or about May 12, 2009, Respondent was terminated from the PRP, as a public risk for obtaining,
possessing, and self-administering controlled substances.

28 || ///

•	
1	THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION
2	(Failure to Submit to Random Drug Screening)
3	20. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Probation Condition
4	No. 16 stated:
5 '	"Respondent, at his own expense, shall participate in random testing, including but not
6	limited to biological fluid testing (urine, blood), breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or a drug
7	screening program approved by the Board. The length of time shall be for the entire probation
8	period and the frequency of testing will be determined by the Board. At all times Respondent
9	shall fully cooperate with the Board, and shall, when directed, submit to such tests and samples
10	for the detection of alcohol, narcotics, hypnotics, dangerous drugs or other controlled substances.
11	Failure to submit to testing as directed shall constitute a violation of probation. Any confirmed
12	positive drug test shall result in the immediate suspension of practice by Respondent. Respondent
13	may not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the Board in writing."
14	21. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with
15	Probation Condition No. 16, referenced above, in that he failed to submit to random drug
16	screening. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are that on or about May 8, 2009,
17	Respondent failed to report for random biological fluid testing.
18	FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION
19	(Failure to Abstain from Drug Use)
20	22. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Probation Condition
21	No. 17 stated:
22	"Respondent shall completely abstain from the possession or use of alcohol, controlled
23	substances, dangerous drugs and their associated paraphernalia except when the drugs are
24	lawfully prescribed by a licensed practitioner as part of a documented medical treatment. Upon
25	request of the Board, Respondent shall provide documentation from the licensed practitioner that
26	the prescription was legitimately issued and is a necessary part of the treatment of the
27	Respondent."
· 28	

21

 $\widehat{\underline{}}$

7

•

ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

23. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition No. 17, referenced above, in that he failed to abstain from drug use. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are that on or about May 12, 2009, Respondent self-reported a relapse to Maximus and admitted to injesting the drug Norco. <u>PRAYER</u> WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
Probation Condition No. 17, referenced above, in that he failed to abstain from drug use. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are that on or about May 12, 2009, Respondent self-reported a relapse to Maximus and admitted to injesting the drug Norco. <u>PRAYER</u>
facts and circumstances regarding this violation are that on or about May 12, 2009, Respondent self-reported a relapse to Maximus and admitted to injesting the drug Norco. <u>PRAYER</u>
self-reported a relapse to Maximus and admitted to injesting the drug Norco. <u>PRAYER</u>
PRAYER
WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:
1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Board in Case No. 3408 and
imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking Pharmacy Intern Permit No.
INT 18460 issued to Respondent;
2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Intern Permit No. INT 18460, issued to
Respondent;
3. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and
enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3;
4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
DATED: 12/8/09
Cinemie Alust
VIRGINIA/HEROLD / Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California Complainant
LA2009507477 60467020.rtf DAG Final
8