	1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California		
	2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
<u> </u>		Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
	3	MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC, State Bar No. 147392 Deputy Attorney General	2	
•	4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
	5	San Diego, CA 92101		
	ر	P.O. Box 85266	•	
	6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
	7	Telephone: (619) 645-3154 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
	8	Attorneys for Complainant		
	9			
		BEFORE THE		
	10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
	11	STATE OF CAL		
	12			
	14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3320	
	13	JOSEPH A. GONZALEZ	FIRST AMENDED	
	14	708 South Ohio Street	ACCUSATION	
	15	Anaheim, CA 92805		
	13	FED ID #45408-112		
	16	FCI Victorville Medium II		
	17	P.O. Box 5300 Adelanto, CA 92301	•	
	18	Pharmacy Technician Registration		
	19	No. TCH 55572		
	20	Respondent.		
	21			
	22	Complainant alleges:		
23 24 25		<u>PARTIES</u>		
		Virginia K. Herold (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation		
		solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.		
	26	2. On or about March 10, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy		
	27	Technician Registration Number TCH 55572 to Joseph A. Gonzalez (Respondent). The		
	28		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	۵۵			

J			
1	Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges		
2	brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2010, unless renewed.		
3	JURISDICTION		
4	3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy,		
5	under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and		
6	Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.		
7	4. Section 4300 of the Code states:		
8	"(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.		
9	"(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the		
10	board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:		
11	"(1) Suspending judgment.		
12	"(2) Placing him or her upon probation.		
13	"(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one		
14	year.		
15	"(4) Revoking his or her license.		
16	"(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.		
17	ζ ι , , , , ,		
18	STATUTORY PROVISIONS AND REGULATIONS		
19	5. Section 4301 of the Code states:		
20	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of		
21	unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but		
22	is not limited to, any of the following:		
23	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty,		
24	fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.		
25	••••		
26	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.		
27	(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving		
28	the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.		

1

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications. functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

6. Section 4022 of the Code states:

Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals,

15 16

10

11

12

13

14

7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to

17

proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed,

18 19

restored, reissued or reinstated.

20

21

8. Section 490 of the Code provides that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the

22

qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

23

9. Section 492 of the Code states:

2425

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for

2627

taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division.

10. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

11. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769,

subdivision (b), states:

When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and her present eligibility for a license will consider the following:

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct -August 2006 Conviction of Illegal Possession of Marijuana)

3

4 5 6

7 8 9

10 11

12

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

22 23 24

25

26 27

28 111

- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301 18. subdivision (l), in that Respondent was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a licensed Pharmacy Technician on August 3, 2006, in People v. Joseph Alexander Gonzalez, Superior Court of Mendocino County, Case No. MCWLCRCR 06 70955-003. Respondent was convicted by his plea of guilty of violating Health and Safety Code section 11357 (illegal possession of marijuana for personal use), a felony.
- 19. The circumstances that led to Respondent's August 2006 conviction are that on April 29, 2006, a Mendocino County Sheriff Deputy initiated a traffic stop of Respondent's vehicle. As he approached the vehicle, the Sheriff's Deputy detected the smell of green marijuana. Respondent and his passenger denied there was marijuana in the vehicle. The Deputy's K-9 partner detected marijuana inside some packages that were wrapped as presents and placed inside the trunk. The Deputy opened the packages and found eight (8) pounds of fresh marijuana buds. The Deputy also found over four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) cash money inside Respondent's pants' pocket. Respondent was arrested for violation of Health and Safety Code section 11360 (a) (possession of marijuana for sale).
- 20. Respondent was sentenced to three (3) years formal probation and participation in the Proposition 36 program. On June 19, 2007, Respondent provided proof of completion of the Proposition 36 program and his sentence was modified. Respondent's modified sentence included three (3) years informal probation, forty (40) hours community service, fines and restitution. Respondent is scheduled to complete his criminal probation on June 18, 2010.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Illegal Possession of Marijuana)

21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301 subdivision (j), in that Respondent illegally possessed eight pounds of marijuana for personal use, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11357, as set forth more fully in paragraphs 19-20, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Dishonest Acts in Concealing Marijuana)

22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), in that he committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, deceit, or corruption when he concealed eight pounds of illegally possessed marijuana inside packages wrapped as presents, as set forth more fully in paragraphs 19-20, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - September 2008 Conviction of Possession of Controlled Substances with Intent to Distribute)

- 23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301 subdivision (l), in that Respondent was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties and functions of a licensed Pharmacy Technician on September 29, 2008, in *United States of America vs. Joseph Alexander Gonzalez*, United States District Court, Central District of California, Docket No. SA CR08-0013 DOC. Respondent was convicted by his plea of guilty of violating Title 21 of the United States Code, Section 841(a)(1), possession with intent to distribute a controlled substance, a felony.
- 24. The circumstances that led to Respondent's September 2008 conviction are as follows. On September 7, 2007, Officer L. of the Costa Mesa Police Department responded to Steven's Pharmacy in Costa Mesa following a reported theft. Upon the officer's arrival, he met with the pharmacist, C.B. C.B. advised that while he was out of the country on vacation during the Labor Day holiday, he received a telephone call

2.1

from an employee who was in charge of the pharmacy in his absence. His employee told him she found six bottles of hydrocodone wrapped inside a plastic baggy in the trash bin inside the store and that she suspected another employee of stealing from the pharmacy. When C.B. returned from vacation, he reviewed his surveillance video and began to take inventory. After reviewing his inventory, he noted that two orders for hydrocodone had been placed. Each order contained 10 bottles, each bottle contained 500 pills. The orders arrived on Saturday, August 25, 2007. Because of the size of the orders, all of the bottles could not be placed in a locked container; some bottles were placed on an overflow shelf for return to the vendor on Monday, August 27, 2007.

- 25. C.B. showed the officer the surveillance video of Monday, August 27, 2007. The videotape showed that Respondent, a pharmacy technician employed at Steven's Pharmacy, took bottles of hydrocodone from the overflow shelf and placed them into his lab coat pockets. His activity began at 9:02 in the morning, almost immediately after the pharmacy opened. C.B. advised the officer that there was no reason for Respondent to be in that area and no reason for him to be handling narcotics at that time in the morning. During the time Respondent did this, he kept his back to the camera and attempted to hide what he was doing. Respondent walked back to the compounding area, which was not covered by surveillance cameras. Respondent made three separate trips from the overflow shelves to the compounding area before the video showed him taking a trash bag outside. C.B. forwarded the videotape to August 29, 2007, which showed Respondent filling an empty plastic bin with bottles of hydrocodone, going to the compounding area and then taking a trash bag outside. It was on this day, August 29, that C.B.'s other employee found the bag of hydrocodone bottles and called C.B. during his vacation.
- 26. C.B. confronted Respondent after another employee told him that Respondent admitted to her that he took the hydrocodone. Respondent did not admit to C.B. that he took the hydrocodone but offered him \$5,000 in cash for the loss.
- 27. For one and a half years, Respondent stole bottles of drugs from Steven's Pharmacy and put them in the trash. When no one was looking, he would take out

the trash and retrieve the drugs. Respondent sold the drugs in sealed bottles with the labels removed. Respondent received \$1.60 for each tablet of hydrocodone and sold about 5,000-13,000 tablets during each transaction. He received \$5.00 per tablet of the 40 mg size of OxyContin and \$10-\$14 per tablet of the 80 mg size. He sold about 3,000-5,000 OxyContin tablets during those 1.5 years.

- 28. On January 10, 2008, Respondent was arrested after purchasing 1000 OxyContin tablets for \$15,000.
- 29. As a result of his conviction, Respondent was sentenced to 63 months in prison and payment of \$200 in fines. Upon release from prison, Respondent is required to be on supervised release for 3 years subject to terms and conditions, which include abstaining from any unlawful use of a controlled substance, submitting to drug tests, and participating in outpatient substance abuse treatment and counseling. As an additional term and condition of supervised release, Respondent is prohibited from being employed in any position that requires licensing and/or certification by any local, state or federal agency, or work at any pharmacy or in a capacity where he has access to prescription medication, without prior approval of the Probation Officer.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit or Corruption in the Theft of Controlled Substances from Steven's Pharmacy)

30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), in that he committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption when he stole controlled substances from his employer, Steven's Pharmacy, over the course of one and a half years, concealed the stolen bottles of Norco and OxyContin, and offered them for sale, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 23-29, above.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Violation of Laws of the United States)

31. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), in that he violated Title 21 of the United States Code, Section 841(a)(1),

possession with intent to distribute a controlled substance, as more fully set forth in paragraphs 23-29, above. **PRAYER** WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 55572, issued to Joseph A. Gonzalez; 2. Ordering Joseph A. Gonzalez to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and, Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy State of California Complainant