-	
1 2	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS, State Bar No. 132645
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General BLANCA I. LOPEZ,
4	Senior Legal Analyst 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5	San Diego, CA 92101
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2061 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3261
13	BRADLEY ALLAN SHUPE
14	2546 Ledgeview PlaceA C C U S A T I O NSpring Valley, CA 91977
15	Technician Registration No. TCH 71749
16	Respondent.
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about September 7, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original
23	Pharmacy Registration Number TCH 71749 to Bradley Allan Shupe (Respondent). The license
24	was in full force and effect all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on
25	December 31, 2009, unless renewed.
26	111.
27	
28	111
	1

1	JURISDICTION
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
3	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
4	references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
5	4. Section 4300 of the Code states:
6	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
7	••••
8	5. Section 4301 of the Code states:
9	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by
10	fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:
11	· · · · ·
12	(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of
13	relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
14	(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document
15	that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
16 17	(i) Except as otherwise authorized by law, knowingly selling, furnishing, giving away, or administering or offering to sell, furnish, give away, or administer any controlled substance to an addict.
18	(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications,
19	functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the
20	statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of
21	conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the
22	crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction
23	is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a
24	plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the
25	judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a
26	subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the wordiet of guilty or diamigning the convertion information or indiatment
27	the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.
28	

1	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of	
2	this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or	
3	federal regulatory agency.	
4	(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.	
5	7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the expiration of a	
6	license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the	
7	period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.	
8	8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may	
9	request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or	
10	violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation	
11	and enforcement of the case.	
12	9. Section 490 of the Code states:	
13	(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground	
14	that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially	
15	related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.	
16		
17	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:	
18	For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the	
19	Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially	
20	related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or	
21	registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.	
22	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:	
23		
24	When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal	
25	license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present	
26	eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:	
27	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).	
28	(2) Total criminal record.	
	3	

1	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
2	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
3	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
4	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(June 4, 2008 Conviction for Possession for Sale of a Controlled Substance - Hydrocodone - Between Late 2007 and Early 2008)
7	12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(l)
8	in that on June 4, 2008, in a case entitled People vs. Bradley Allan Shupe, in the Superior Court
9	of California, County of San Diego, Central Division, Case No. CD212279, Respondent pled
10	guilty to violating Health and Safety Code section 11351 (Possession for Sale of a Controlled
11	Substance - Hydrocodone), a felony. The circumstances are as follows:
12	a. In February of 2008, the Regional Pharmaceutical Enforcement Task
13	Force (RxNET) received a call from Respondent's then-employer, CVS Pharmacy, Loss
14	Prevention Specialist R.W., requesting a meeting regarding missing drugs at a CVS Pharmacy.
15	R.W. informed RxNET that, after several inventory shortages of Hydrocodone were found at
16	CVS Pharmacy, three covert cameras were installed within the pharmacy area. Respondent was
17	recorded on three occasions, on February 5, 6 and 19, 2008, taking bottles of Hydrocodone and
18	concealing them on his person.
19	On March 6, 2008, Respondent was interviewed by an RxNET member and
20	Respondent admitted to taking Hydrocodone and other pills from CVS Pharmacy without the
21	pharmacy's authorization during the previous six to seven months. Respondent admitted to task
22	force members that he last took Hydrocodone without authorization from CVS Pharmacy on
23	March 4, 2008. Respondent was arrested by RxNET task force members.
24	b. As a result of the above conviction, Respondent was committed to the San
25	Diego County Jail for 180 days, with 7 days credit for time served. Respondent was placed on
26	three years formal probation to run through July of 2011. Respondent was also ordered to pay a
27	\$ 1,100 in fees and fines, and \$20,926.83 restitution to the victim(s) at \$50 per month.
28	
	4

1	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Unprofessional Conduct)
3	13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f), (j), and
4	(1) in that between late 2007 and March of 2008, Respondent took Hydrocodone pills from his
5	employer, CVS Pharmacy, without the pharmacy's authorization. Respondent admitted to
6	officers that he sold the pills for about two to three dollars a pill to transients in the North Park
7	area of San Diego County.
8	PRAYER
9	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
10	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
11	1. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacy Technician Registration
12	Number TCH 71749 issued to Bradley Allan Shupe;
13	2. Ordering Respondent Bradley Allan Shupe to pay the Board of Pharmacy
14	the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and
15	Professions Code section 125.3;
16	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
17	DATED: <u>4/10/09</u>
18	
19	HRGINIA HEROLD
20	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
21	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
22	Complainant
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5