1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.				
2	Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL A. CACCIOTTI, STATE BAR No. 129533				
4	Deputy Attorney General 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702				
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2544				
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant				
7	BEFORE THE				
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
9	STATE OF (CALIFORNIA			
10	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation	Case No. 3125			
11	Against:	OAH No. L-2010040156			
12	MISSION COMMUNITY PHARMACY, INC. d.b.a., MISSION PHARMACY				
13	16569 Brookhurst Avenue	FIRSTAMENDED			
14	Fountain Valley, Ca 92708 TERESA TRUONG, President	ACCUSATION			
15	(From 11/17/04 to Present) ELIZABETH DUC TRAN, Pharmacist-in-				
16	Charge (From 12/23/05 to Present)				
17					
18	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 46966 (From 11/17/04 to Present); and				
19	·				
20	ELIZABETH DUC TRAN 16373 Sandalwood St.				
21	Fountain Valley, CA 92708				
22	Pharmacist License No. RPH 48237				
23	Respondents.				
24					
25	Complainant alleges:				
26	PARTIES				
27	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity				
28	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.				
		4			

"(4) Revoking his or her license.

"(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

8. Section 490 of the Code states:

"A Board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued, or the ground of knowingly making a false statement of fact required to be revealed in an application for such license. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a Board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

9. Section 810 of the Code states:

"(a) It shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of a license or certificate, for a health care professional to do any of the following in connection with his or her professional activities:

- "(1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance.
- "(2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any false or fraudulent claim."
 - 10. Section 4021 of the Code states:

"'Controlled substance' means any substance listed in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 11053) of Division 10 of the Health and Safety Code."

11. Section 4022 of the Code states

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

1718

19 20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

- "(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."
 - 14. Health and Safety Code section 11153 states in part:
 - "(a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order

12.

COST RECOVERY

22. Section 125.3 of the Code states in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

Controlled Substance / Dangerous Drug

- 23. "Celebrex" is the generic name for Celecoxib and is a "dangerous drug," pursuant to section 4022 of the Business and Professions Code.
- 24. Alprazolam, also known as Xanax, is controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and is categorized as a dangerous drug according to Code section 4022.
- 25. Dilaudid, also known as Hydromorphone, is controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(K), and is categorized as a dangerous drug according to Code section 4022.
- 26. OxyContin, also known as Oxycodone, is controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and is categorized as a dangerous drug according to Code section 4022.
- 27. Hydrocodone with Acetaminophen, also known as Vicodin, is controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4), and is categorized as a dangerous drug according to Code section 4022.
- 28. Hydrocodone, also known as NORCO, is controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4), and is categorized as a dangerous drug according to Code section 4022.
- 29. Diazepam, also known as Valium, is controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and is categorized as a dangerous drug according to Code section 4022.

//

//

- 30. Phentermine, also known as Adipex, is controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (f)(2), and is categorized as a dangerous drug according to Code section 4022.
- 31. <u>NON-PRESCRIPTION DRUG</u>: "Claritin" is the generic name for Loratadine and is an antihistamine used for the treatment of seasonal allergies.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

32. Respondent Elizabeth Duc Tran is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has committed a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacist. On or about July 10, 2007, after pleading guilty to count 1 of the Superseding Information, Respondent was convicted of one count of violating Title 18, United States Code, Section 1035 (false statements relating to health care matters) in the criminal proceeding entitled *United States of America v. Elizabeth Tran* (U.S. Dist. Ct., E.D. Cal., 2007, No. 2:04CR00236). Respondent was placed on 48 months of formal probation after serving 6 months in a designated half way house. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that from on or about July 2003, through on or about May 2004, Respondent defrauded the Medi-Cal Program by falsely stating that her pharmacy (Grodant Mission Pharmacy Corporation dba Mission Pharmacy, Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 46317) had provided Celebrex and Claritin to Medi-Cal patients, when in fact, these drugs were not provided to the patients. Respondent defrauded the State of California out of more than \$200,000 and less than \$400,000. (Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 46317 issued to Grodant Mission Pharmacy Corporation was canceled on November 8, 2004, due to a change of ownership).

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

33. Respondent Elizabeth Duc Tran is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that from on or about July 2003, through on or about May 2004, Respondent

23

24

25

26

1	committed acts of dishonesty, fraud, or deceit. Complainant's allegations, as set forth in			
2	paragraph 32, are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth.			
3	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE			
4	(Knowingly Signed False Documents)			
5	34. Respondent Elizabeth Duc Tran is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301,			
6	subdivision (g), in that from on or about July 2003, through on or about May 2004, Respondent			
7	knowingly signed false documents that misrepresented the existence or nonexistence of facts.			
8	Complainant's allegations, as set forth in paragraph 32, are incorporated by reference as though			
9	fully set forth.			
10	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE			
11	(Insurance Fraud)			
12	35. Respondent Elizabeth Duc Tran is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300			
13	and 810, subdivisions (a)(1) and (2), in that from on or about July 2003, through on or about May			
14	2004, Respondent knowingly presented or caused to be presented a false or fraudulent claim for			
15	the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance. Respondent prepared a writing, with the			
16	intent to present or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used, in support of a false or			
17	fraudulent claim. Complainant's allegations, as set forth in paragraph 32, are incorporated by			
18	reference as though fully set forth.			
19	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE			
20	(Violating or Attempting to Violate the Terms or Provisions of the Board)			
21	36. Respondent Elizabeth Duc Tran is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301,			
22	subdivision (o), in that from on or about July 2003, through on or about May 2004, Respondent			
23	violated or attempted to violate the terms or provisions of the Board. Complainant's allegations,			
24	as set forth in paragraph 32, are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth.			
25	//			
26				
27	//			
28	//			

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unauthorized Refill of a Controlled Substance)

- 37. Respondents Mission Community Pharmacy, Inc., and Elizabeth Duc Tran are subject to disciplinary action under section 4063, in that Respondents dispensed prescriptions for controlled substances without prescriber authorization as follows:
- a. On or about July 15, 2008, Respondents dispensed prescription no. 767729 for 130 Hydrocodone with Acetaminophen to Patient RW and prescription no. 767730 for 100 Diazepam to Patient RW without authorization from a prescriber.
- b. On or about September 10, 2008, Respondents dispensed prescription no. 775261 for30 Phentermine to Patient MH without authorization from a prescriber.
- c. On or about September 16, 2008, Respondents dispensed prescription no. 775755 for
 150 Hydrocodone with Acetaminophen to Patient BW and prescription no. 775756 for 100
 Alprazolam to Patient BW without authorization from a prescriber.
- d. On or about November 19, 2008, Respondents dispensed prescription no. 784776 for
 150 Hydrocodone with Acetaminophen to Patient RW and prescription no. 784777 for 100
 Diazepam to Patient RW without authorization from a prescriber.
- e. On or about November 19, 2008, Respondents dispensed prescription no. 784779 for 150 Hydrocodone with Acetaminophen to Patient LW and prescription no. 784780 for 100 Alprazolam to Patient LW without authorization from a prescriber.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Ensuring Prescription is for Legitimate Medical Purpose)

38. Respondents Mission Community Pharmacy, Inc., and Elizabeth Duc Tran are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j), Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations section 1761, subdivision (b), in that Respondents dispensed prescriptions for controlled substances without determining if the prescription was for a legitimate medical purpose. Specifically, Respondents dispensed prescriptions for patients who lived far away from the pharmacy, dispensed prescriptions early, and dispensed prescriptions that duplicated therapy as follows:

- a. Respondents dispensed the following three (3) prescriptions to Patient KMcC on: September 15, 2008, prescription no. 780012 for 100 Alprazolam; September 26, 2008, prescription no. 781935 for 100 Alprazolam; and October 9, 2008, prescription no. 783882 for 100 Alprazolam.
- b. Respondents dispensed the following five (5) prescriptions to Patient KMcC on: August 14, 2008, prescription # 775828 for 100 Dilaudid; August 26, 2008, prescription no. 777367 for 150 Dilaudid; September 8, 2008, prescription no. 778951 for 100 Dilaudid; September 26, 2008, prescription no. 781933 for 150 Dilaudid; and October 9, 2008, prescription no. 783880 for 150 Dilaudid.
- c. Respondents dispensed the following four (4) prescriptions to Patient AO on;
 September 12, 2008, prescription no. 779632 for 90 OxyContin; September 23, 2008, prescription no. 781294 for 90 OxyContin; October 24, 2008, prescription no. 786336 for 90 OxyContin; and December 2, 2008, prescription no. 792185 for 90 OxyContin;
- d. Respondents dispensed the following four (4) prescriptions to Patient AO on;
 September 15, 2008, prescription no. 779891 for 100 Dilaudid; October 31, 2008, prescription no. 787373 for 150 Dilaudid; December 4, 2008, prescription no. 792615 for 150 Dilaudid; and December 20, 2008, prescription no. 794990 for 150 Dilaudid.
- e. Respondents dispensed the following two (2) prescriptions to Patient AO on; October 10, 2008, prescription no. 784079 for 150 Hydrocodone with Acetaminophen; and October 29, 2008, prescription no. 787035 for 100 Hydrocodone with Acetaminophen.
- f. Respondents dispensed the following two (2) prescriptions to Patient AO on: December 4, 2008, prescription no. 792616 for 100 Alprazolam; and December 20, 2008, prescription no. 794991 for 100 Alprazolam.
- g. Respondents dispensed the following two (2) prescriptions to Patient AO on April 9, 2009, prescription no. 809247 for 150 Dilaudid and prescription no. 809249 for 90 OxyContin.
- h. Respondents dispensed the following four (4) prescriptions to Patient UH on: October 27, 2008, prescription no. 786758 for 150 Dilaudid; November 10, 2008, prescription no. 788939

for 150 Dilaudid; December 3, 2008, prescription no. 792358 for 150 Dilaudid; and December 4, 2008, prescription no. 792656 for 150 Dilaudid.

- i. Respondents dispensed prescription no. 792562 for 90 OxyContin to Patient UH on December 3, 2008.
- j. Respondents dispensed the following two (2) prescriptions to Patient GJ on July 12, 2008, prescription no. 771497 for 90 OxyContin and prescription no. 771498 for 240 Dilaudid.
- k. Respondents dispensed the following two (2) prescriptions to Patient GJ on December 9, 2008, prescription no. 793533 for 90 OxyContin and prescription no. 793534 for 150 Dilaudid.
- 1. Respondents dispensed the following two (2) prescriptions to Patient LJ on: November 3, 2008, prescription no. 787721 for 150 Dilaudid; and November 4, 2008, prescription no. 787879 for 90 OxyContin.
- m. Respondents dispensed two (2) prescriptions to Patient KM on December 9, 2008, prescription no. 793525 for 90 OxyContin and prescription no. 793529 for 150 Dilaudid.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Excessive Furnishing of Controlled Substances)

39. Respondents Mission Community Pharmacy, Inc., and Elizabeth Duc Tran are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (d), in that Respondents excessively furnished controlled substances. Complainant's allegations as set forth in paragraphs 37, subparagraphs (a) through (e) and 38, subparagraphs (a) through (m), inclusive, are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dispensing Postdated or Antedated Prescriptions)

40. Respondents Mission Community Pharmacy, Inc., and Elizabeth Duc Tran are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j) in conjunction with Health and Safety Code 11158, subdivision (a), in that Respondents filled prescriptions that did not meet the requirements of the Health and Safety Code. Specifically, Respondents dispensed prescriptions that were postdated or antedated in violation of Health and Safety Code 11172. Respondents

- a. Respondents failed to report numerous prescriptions for controlled substances during the time period of January 3, 2009 through February 2, 2009, for certain prescriptions that fall within the range of prescription nos. 796110 through 799985.
- b. Respondents failed to report numerous prescriptions for controlled substances during the time period of February 7, 2009 through March 16, 2009, for certain prescriptions that fall within the range of prescription nos. 800874 through 806013.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 45. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondents, Complainant alleges:
- a. On or about June 15, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation No. CI 2003 27662 to Respondent Pharmacist-in-Charge Elizabeth Duc Tran, RPH 48237 for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716 (variation from a prescription). Pharmacist-in-Charge Elizabeth Duc Tran, RPH 48237 dispensed Ziagen (generic name: Abacavir) instead of Tenofovir (brand name: Viread), which had been prescribed. The citation was issued with a fine in the amount of \$125.00 and is now final.
- b. On or about May 4, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation No. CI 2005 30110 to Respondent Mission Community Pharmacy, Inc. dba Mission Pharmacy, Permit No. PHY 46966, for violating Business and Professions Code section 4342 (actions by Board to prevent sales of preparations of drugs lacking quality or strength) and Health & Safety Code section 11165 (Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System). On December 22, 2005, while under the supervision of Pharmacist-In-Charge Theresa Van Truong, RPH 50360, numerous expired drugs were found in the pharmacy's active stock, and the electronic monitoring of schedule II prescriptions had not been transmitted as required. The citation was issued with a fine in the amount of \$500.00 and is now final.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

//

1	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 46966, issued to Mission			
2	Community Pharmacy, Inc. dba Mission Pharmacy;			
3 ·	2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 48237, issued to Elizabet			
4	Duc Tran;			
5	3. Ordering Mission Community Pharmacy, Inc. dba Mission Pharmacy; and Elizabeth			
6	Duc Tran to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcemen			
. 7	of this case, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and			
8	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.			
9	m'			
10	DATED: 10/21/10 // Whael A. Curith			
11	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer			
12	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs			
13	State of California Complainant			
14				
15	LA2007602004 60561228.docx			
16	00501226.d00X			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25.				
26				
27				
28				

	•			
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General			
2	of the State of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS	F		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL A. CACCIOTTI, State Bar No. 129533			
4	Deputy Attorney General 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702			
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2932			
	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	•		
6				
7.	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
9				
10	STATE OF CALIF	• • • •		
1:1	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3125		
1,2,	GRODANT MISSION PHARMACY CORPORATION	ACCUSATION		
13	d.b.a., MISSION PHARMACY 14860 Roscoe Blvd. #104			
14	Panorama City, CA 91402 ELIZABETH DUC TRAN,			
	President/Pharmacist-in-Charge	· .		
15	(From 4/15/03 to 11/08/04) Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 46317			
16	(Canceled on 11/08/04)			
1.7	and			
18	MISSION COMMUNITY PHARMACY, INC. d.b.a., MISSION PHARMACY			
.19	14860 Roscoe Blvd. #104			
20	Panorama City, CA 91402 TERESA TRUONG, President			
21	(From 11/17/04 to Present) ELIZABETH DUC TRAN, Pharmacist-in-Charge			
22	(From 12/23/05 to Present) Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 46966			
23	(From 11/17/04 to Present)	·		
24	and	·		
	ELIZABETH DUC TRAN			
25	16373 Sandalwood St. Fountain Valley, CA 92708	ė.		
26	Pharmacist License No. RPH 48237			
27	Respondents.			
28		,		

Complainant alleges:

4.

7 8

PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about April 15, 2003, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 46317 to Grodant Mission Pharmacy Corporation (Respondent Grodant Mission Pharmacy), to do business as Mission Pharmacy, with Elizabeth Duc Tran, as President/Pharmacist-in-Charge from April 15, 2003 to November 8, 2004. The Pharmacy Permit was canceled on November 8, 2004, due to a change of ownership.
- 3. On or about November 17, 2004, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 46966 to Mission Community Pharmacy, Inc., (Respondent Mission Community Pharmacy) doing business as Mission Pharmacy, with Theresa Truong, as President since November 17, 2004 and Elizabeth Duc Tran, as Pharmacist-in-Charge since December 23, 2005. The Pharmacy Permit will expire on November 1, 2009, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about August 14, 1995, the Board issued Pharmacist License No. RPH 48237 to Elizabeth Duc Tran (Respondent Tran). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2010, unless renewed.
- 5. Respondent Grodant Mission Pharmacy, Respondent Mission Community
 Pharmacy and Respondent Tran are sometimes referred to collectively as "Respondents."

JURISDICTION

6. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a

disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

8. Section 490 of the Code states:

- 8

.27

"A Board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued, or the ground of knowingly making a false statement of fact required to be revealed in an application for such license. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a Board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

9. Section 810 of the Code states:

- "(a) It shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of a license or certificate, for a health care professional to do any of the following in connection with his or her professional activities:
- "(1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance.
- "(2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any false or fraudulent claim."
- Section 4300 of the Business and Professions Code provides, in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.

11. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the

following:

2

1

3.45

6 7

8

9

1112

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

2526

2728

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13

(commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled

substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the

record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances

or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the

qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty

or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the

meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or

the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section

1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a

plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information,

or indictment.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the

applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

COST RECOVERY

13. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

14. DANGEROUS DRUG

"Celebrex" is the generic name for Celecoxib and is a "dangerous drug," pursuant to section 4022 of the Business and Professions Code.

15. NON-PRESCRIPTION DRUG

"Claritin" is the generic name for Loratadine and is an antihistamine used for the treatment of seasonal allergies.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

Respondent Tran has subjected her license to discipline pursuant to sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has committed a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacist. On or about July 10, 2007, after pleading guilty to count 1 of the Superseding Information, Respondent was convicted

of one count of violating Title 18, United States Code, Section 1035 (false statements relating to health care matters) in the criminal proceeding entitled United States of America v. Elizabeth Tran (U.S. Dist. Ct., E.D.Cal., 2007, No. 2:04CR00236). Respondent was placed on 48 months of formal probation after serving 6 months in a designated half way house. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that from on or about July 2003, through on or about May 2004, Respondent defrauded the Medi-Cal Program by falsely stating that her pharmacy had provided Celebrex and Claritin to Medi-Cal patients, when in fact, these drugs were not provided to the patients. Respondent defrauded the State of California out of more than \$200,000 and less than \$400,000. SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit) 17. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f) of the Code, in that from on or about July 2003, through on or about May 2004, Respondents committed acts of dishonesty, fraud, or deceit. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 16, inclusive, as though set forth fully. THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Knowingly Signed False Documents) 18.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

18. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (g) of the Code, in that from on or about July 2003, through on or about May 2004, Respondents knowingly signed false documents that misrepresented the existence or nonexistence of facts. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 16, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Insurance Fraud)

19. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 810, subdivision (a)(1) and (2) of the Code, in that from on or about July 2003, through on or about May 2004, Respondents knowingly presented or caused to be presented a false or

fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance. Respondents prepared a 2 writing, with the intent to present or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used, in 3 support of a false or fraudulent claim. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 16, inclusive, as though set forth fully. FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 5 6 (Violating or Attempting to Violate the Terms or Provisions of the Board) 7 20. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, 8 subdivision (o) of the Code, in that from on or about July 2003, through on or about May 2004, 9 Respondents violated or attempted to violate the terms or provisions of the Board. Complainant 10 refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 16 through 19, inclusive, as though set forth fully. 12 DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS 21. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on 14 Respondent, Complainant alleges: 15 On or about June 15, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation No. CI 16 2003 26644 to Respondent Grodant Mission Pharmacy Corporation dba Mission Pharmacy, PHY 46317 for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716 (variation from a 18 prescription). Pharmacist-in-Charge Elizabeth Duc Tran, RPH 48237 dispensed Ziagen (generic 19 name: Abacavir) instead of Tenofovir (brand name: Viread), which had been prescribed. The 20 citation was issued without a fine and is now final. b. On or about June 15, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation No. CI 2003 27662 to Respondent Pharmacist-in-Charge Elizabeth Duc Tran, RPH 48237 for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716 (variation from a prescription). 24 Pharmacist-in-Charge Elizabeth Duc Tran, RPH 48237 dispensed Ziagen (generic name: 25 Abacavir) instead of Tenofovir (brand name: Viread), which had been prescribed. The citation 26 was issued with a fine in the amount of \$125.00 and is now final. C. On or about May 4, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation No.

1

11

13

17

21

22

23

27

28

CI 2005 30110 to Respondent Mission Community Pharmacy, Inc. dba Mission Pharmacy,

1	Permit No. PHY 46966, for violating Business and Professions Code section 4342 (actions by			
2	Board to prevent sales of preparations of drugs lacking quality or strength) and Health & Safety			
3	Code section 11165 (Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System). On			
4				
5	December 22, 2005, while under the supervision of Pharmacist-In-Charge Theresa Van Truong,			
6	RPH 50360, numerous expired drugs were found in the pharmacy's active stock, and the			
	electronic monitoring of schedule II prescriptions had not been transmitted as required. The			
7	citation was issued with a fine in the amount of \$500.00 and is now final.			
8	<u>PRAYER</u>			
9.	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein			
10	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:			
11	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 46966, issued to			
12	Respondent Mission Community Pharmacy, Inc. dba Mission Pharmacy, Permit No. PHY 46966			
13	2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 46317, issued to			
14	Respondent Grodant Mission Pharmacy Corporation dba Mission Pharmacy, Permit No. PHY			
15	46317.			
16	3. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License No. RPH 48237, issued to			
17	Respondent Tran.			
18	4. Ordering Respondent Mission Community Pharmacy, Inc. dba Mission			
19	Pharmacy, Permit No. PHY 46966, Grodant Mission Pharmacy Corporation dba Mission			
20	Pharmacy, PHY 46317 and Respondent Tran to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs			
21	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section 125.3;			
22	5, Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.			
23	DATED: 9/17/09			
24	I weine led			
25.	VIRGINIA PEROLD Executive Officer			
26	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs			
27	State of California Complainant			